Economic questioins: the David Ricardo question

Published: March 17, 2026, 7:45 am

This is one of a series of posts that will ask what the most pertinent question raised by a prominent influencer of political economy might have been and what its relevance is today. A list of all posts in the series appears at the end of each entry. The origin of this series is noted here.

This series has been produced using what I describe as directed AI searches to establish positions with which I agree, followed by final editing before publication.

David Ricardo belongs in this series because he helped define the analytical foundations of classical political economy, and most especially the relationship between rent, profit and wages. His work remains central to debates about distribution, trade and economic structure, and yet one of his most important insights, about the power of rent, is often forgotten. This is why he is discussed here.

David Ricardo wrote at the beginning of the nineteenth century, during the formative decades of industrial capitalism. Britain was experiencing rapid economic change: agriculture was becoming commercialised, industry was expanding, and land ownership remained concentrated in the hands of a powerful aristocracy. Debates about trade, food prices and land ownership were fierce because they determined who would benefit from economic growth.

Ricardo's most important contribution was to analyse how national income is divided between landowners, workers and capitalists. His theory of rent showed that landowners could gain income not through productive activity but simply through ownership of scarce resources. His argument was that as population and economic activity expand, land becomes more valuable, and so landlords capture increasing rents without in any way contributing to production.

Ricardo recognised the consequences immediately. When rents rise, they absorb a growing share of national income. That income must come from somewhere, and that *was either from wages or from profits.*

Hence the ***David Ricardo Question: If rising rents inevitably squeeze both wages and productive profits, why do modern economies allow rent extraction to dominate economic life?***

Rent as unearned income

Ricardo distinguished sharply between productive income and rent. Wages compensate labour. Profits reward investment and risk-taking. Rent, however, arises simply because certain resources — especially land — are scarce and privately owned.

As demand grows, the value of these scarce resources rises. Landowners can charge higher rents without improving the land or increasing its productivity. Their income grows not because they contribute more, but because the economy around them expands.

Ricardo saw this clearly: rent is income derived from ownership, not production.

The squeeze on wages and profits

Ricardo’s model showed that as rents increase, the remaining income available for wages and profits must shrink. Workers may face lower real wages because food and housing become more expensive. Entrepreneurs may see their profits reduced because costs rise.

In this sense, rent acts as a drag on the productive economy. It redistributes wealth from those who work and invest to those who simply own valuable assets.

Ricardo, therefore, regarded the expansion of rent as economically harmful.

The Corn Laws and political power

Ricardo developed his theory partly in response to the Corn Laws, tariffs that protected British landowners by keeping grain prices high. Higher food prices meant higher rents for landlords, higher living costs for workers, and higher wage pressures for employers.

Ricardo opposed these laws because they privileged rentier interests over productive development. He believed economic policy should support production and trade, not protect the unearned income of landowners.

His analysis revealed that economic debates are rarely neutral. Instead, they are struggles over distribution.

Rent in the modern economy

Although Ricardo wrote about land, the concept of rent has expanded dramatically since he wrote. Today, rent extraction occurs not only through land ownership but through control of:

* housing and property
* intellectual property rights
* financial assets
* digital platforms
* natural resources
* monopoly positions in markets
* companies from which excess pay can be taken

These modern rents can be even more powerful than the land rents Ricardo analysed. They allow wealth to accumulate through control of assets rather than through productive activity.

Ricardo’s insight, therefore, remains strikingly relevant.

Financialisation and the return of the rentier

In many contemporary economies, rising property values, financial asset ownership and corporate monopolies have shifted income toward rentiers. Housing costs absorb increasing shares of household income. Asset prices rise faster than wages. Wealth accumulates through ownership rather than work.

This pattern resembles the dynamics Ricardo warned about two centuries ago. Economic growth becomes increasingly captured by those who control scarce assets.

The productive economy — the one that creates goods, services and employment — is squeezed.

What answering the David Ricardo Question would require

Taking Ricardo’s insight seriously would require confronting rent extraction directly. That would involve:

*
Taxing economic rents, especially those derived from land and monopolies, whether natural or artificially created.

*
Reducing barriers to competition and preventing artificial scarcity from generating excessive returns.

*
Reforming housing and land policy, ensuring land values benefit society rather than private landlords alone.

*
Regulating monopoly power, particularly in digital and financial sectors.

*
Redirecting investment toward productive activity, rather than speculative asset accumulation.

These measures would not punish success. They would restore the distinction between productive income and unearned rent.

Inference

The David Ricardo Question highlights a persistent tension in capitalist economies: the struggle between productive activity and rent extraction. Ricardo showed that when rent dominates, it drains resources from workers and investors while rewarding passive ownership.

Two centuries later, his insight remains relevant. Modern economies continue to generate vast fortunes through control of scarce assets rather than through productive contribution.

To answer Ricardo’s question is to recognise that prosperity depends not simply on growth, but on who captures the gains from that growth, and that when rentiers dominate, the productive economy inevitably suffers.

Previous posts in this series:

* [***The economic questions***]
* ***Economic questions: The Henry Ford Question***
* ***Economic questions: The Mark Carney Question***
* ***Economics questions: The Keynes question***
* ***Economics questions: The Karl Marx question***
* ***Economics questions: the Milton Friedman question***
* ***Economic questions: The Hayek question***
* ***Economic questions: The James Buchanan question***
* ***Economic questions: The J K Galbraith question***
* ***Economic questions: the Hyman Minsky question***
* ***Economic questions: the Joseph Schumpeter question***
* ***Economic questions: The E F Schumacher question***
* ***Economics questions: the John Rawls question***
* ***Economic questions: the Thomas Piketty question***
* ***Economic questions: the Gary Becker question***
* ***Economics questions: The Greg Mankiw question***
* ***Economic questions: The Paul Krugman***
* ***Economic question: the Tony Judt question***
* ***Economic questions: The Nancy MacLean question***
* ***Economic questions: The David Graeber question***
* ***The economic questions: the Amartya Sen question***
* ***Economic questions: the Jesus of Nazareth question***
* ***Economic questions: the Adam Smith question***
* ***Economic questions: (one of) the Steve Keen question(s)***
* ***Economic questions: the Stephanie Kelton question***

* ***Economic questions: the Thomas Paine question***
* ***Economic questions: the John Christensen question***
* ***Economic questions: the Eugene Fama question***
* ***Economic questions: the Thomas Hobbes Question***
* ***Economic questions: the James Tobin question***
* ***Economic questions: the William Beveridge question***
* ***Economic questions: the William Nordhaus question***
* ***Economic questions: the Erwin Schrödinger question***
* ***Economic questions: the Karl Polanyi question***
* ***Economic questions: the Richard Feynman question***
* ***Economic questions: the Wynne Godley question***
* ***Economic questions: the Erich Fromm Question***
* ***Economic questions: the John Ruskin question***
* ***Economic questions: the Paul Samuelson question***
* ***Economic questions: the Joan Robinson question***
* ***Economic questions: the Abba Lerner question***
* ***Economic questions: the Thorstein Veblen question***