

More sovereign US bases? I dont think so

Published: January 22, 2026, 8:42 am

The story about Trump's supposed to deal with NATO is developing this morning.

Apparently, the compromise that Mark Rutte has suggested on NATO's behalf is that the US bases in Greenland be considered US sovereign territory, and that this might also apply to mineral territories the US wants in that country.

In my opinion, this is entirely unacceptable, and not just because it will have been agreed under coercion. I have always found it repugnant that US bases in the UK are considered, for all practical purposes, to be US sovereign territory.

In this context, I entirely agree with Zach Polanski that the time has arrived for us to reconsider the hosting of US bases in the UK, and, through base sharing, in places like Cyprus, from which support has been provided for the Israeli genocide in Gaza.

NATO should, at this moment, be reconsidering the whole reason why it permits forces from a hostile country like the USA to be located in its territory, with a necessary transition for their removal now being a requirement for peace in Europe.

Why, in that circumstance, granting the US additional rights in Greenland makes any sense at all is completely baffling unless the likes of Mark Rutte are acting as agents for the US, and for its pro-Putin stance.

I sincerely hope that Denmark and the people of Greenland will reject this totally inappropriate move.