

The 1933 Nazi Playbook That Explains Why ABC Cancelled...

Published: January 13, 2026, 1:25 am

This is too important, and frightening, not to share:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=e9NnQt_eQ0I?si=q5Wx28IQ_nHvy26X

The speaker is Tad Stoermer, an academic historian who suggests he is:

- * Torching lies
- * Teaching resistance
- * Explaining revolution.

He is the author of 'A Resistance History of the United States' (Steerforth Press, 2026).

He is a lecturer at Johns Hopkins University and a visiting scholar at the University of Southern Denmark.

This is a summary of the transcript, produced by AI:

Summary — Trump declared “Antifa” terrorists

(video by Todd Sturmer)

TL;DR: Todd Stoermer argues that after Trump labelled “Antifa” terrorists, major institutions (media, corporations, universities, unions) rushed to demonstrate loyalty — voluntarily pre-empting dissent in a pattern he likens to the Nazi-era tactic of coordinated self-submission (Gleichschaltung). That vagueness — designating an idea rather than an organisation — creates a chilling, pre-emptive machinery of repression

where everyone polices everyone else.

Key points

*

Trigger event: Trump labels anti-fascist activists (“Antifa”) as terrorists; within days ABC pulled Jimmy Kimmel and other outlets pre-empted or altered programming, Sinclair even scheduling a tribute special and demanding apologies/donations.

*

Corporate race to comply: Media owners (and other institutions) aren’t being ordered — they’re competing to show loyalty (partly for reasons like pending FCC approvals/mergers), demonstrating the speed and voluntariness of the response.

*

Historical analogy — Nazi playbook: Sturmer invokes the Nazi tactic of making examples and letting the rest of civic life align itself voluntarily. He stresses the mechanism: ambiguity + fear = institutions self-purging to prove loyalty.

*

Ambiguity weaponised: “Antifa” is, he says, a mentality or way of thinking rather than a formal organisation, so declaring it a terrorist threat lets institutions define the danger loosely and punish or ostracise anyone perceived as connected.

*

Social enforcement: Celebrities, sports teams, corporate boards and HR departments issue identical statements and policing, while activists launch campaigns to get people fired, producing pre-emptive censure without legal definitions or trials.

*

Universities and workplaces at risk: Examples of firings/audits are cited; academic syllabi and social media histories become grounds for punishment if someone is marked “Antifa-adjacent.”

*

A faster, digital danger: Sturmer warns this process is quicker and more absolute than 1933 because digital records, corporate liability, and social media accelerate detection and punishment — institutions “volunteer” repression rather than being forced.

*

Closing warning: The constitution and institutional safeguards are weakened not by a

single violent purge but by institutions racing to prove allegiance — creating the infrastructure of repression through compliance rather than coercion.

Tone/intent: The video is a polemical, alarmed warning — drawing historical parallels to warn that voluntary institutional compliance driven by vague accusations can erode civic freedoms rapidly.