

Why can't the right questions about proportional repres...

Published: January 13, 2026, 2:13 am

Politics Home [noted this yesterday](#):

As the political landscape in the UK becomes more fractured, some politicians are already worrying about the chaos it could unleash at the next general election.

For example, with an increasing number of viable candidates and parties in contests across the country, traditional tactical voting strategies that some parties have relied on could be upended.

The term 'proportional representation' appeared in the article, but never once was it noted that there are people in the UK, let alone UK political parties, who think that we should use such a system in this country (a video is coming on this, soon). Instead, it is assumed that we must continue to live without an election system that, in Europe, we only share with Belarus, and which very often produces the entirely absurd and unrepresentative electoral outcomes that we have seen if late, including Labour's 'landslide' victory last summer.

My question is a simple one, and is what is this denial about? Why can't the obvious questions about how this absurd situation might be resolved be asked?

We need proportional representation, and we need it now.

Most especially, we need a single transferable vote system with multiple-member constituencies, and we need that now.

Why isn't this on the political agenda?