

Never forget Labour's ugly biases

Published: January 12, 2026, 10:06 pm

As [the FT noted](#) a couple of days ago:

Minouche Shafik, the president of Columbia University in New York, has resigned following months of criticism over her handling of student protests after the outbreak of war between Hamas and Israel.

Shafik's handling of this issue was utterly unacceptable, conflating anti-Zionism with anti-Semitism, when they are in no way the same thing. The response she authorised was decidedly heavy-handed.

But as the FT also notes:

Shafik angered faculty members by revealing the names of academics who were still under investigation for alleged antisemitism while being questioned in Congress, and drew condemnation for suspending students and calling in New York police to break up encampments on campus.

Her hardline stance triggered copycat protests and clashes between students and police at other campuses across the US and in other countries.

Shafik, a former senior figure at the World Bank and Bank of England who has been at Columbia for about a year, said in her letter she had been asked by the new UK government to chair a review of "its approach to international development and how to improve capability".

Note the last paragraph to learn all you need to know about Labour's approach to genocide and Zionism. Unbelievably, a person effectively dismissed because of her gross mishandling of responses to these issues is now to advise Labour on its approach to international development. You cannot make up something so utterly inept.

Never forget Stamer's Labour's biases, I now say. They are readily apparent and ugly in their international consequences, as well as for people around the world.