

Who will represent the voice of the person the state sh...

Published: January 13, 2026, 11:49 am

I think it very rare that history ever repeats itself. But echoes do undoubtedly resonate, which is why this is so horribly uncomfortable today:

*First they came for the Communists
Because I was not a Communist
Then they came for the Socialists
Because I was not a Socialist
Then they came for the trade unionists
Because I was not a Trade unionist
Then they came for the Jews
Because I was not a Jew
Then they came for me
And there was no one left
To speak out for me*

Labour has got rid of its communists.

It is now culling its socialists, most especially if they also happen to be women of colour, it seems.

They already hold trade unionists in near-complete contempt. The direction of travel is obvious.

Thereafter, they will not go for Zionist Jews, of course. But woe betide those who might support Palestinians, or who think the very idea of Israel is anti-Semitic, as some Jews do.

And woe betide the migrant, the person on a low income, the carer and the cared for and anyone else who might be vulnerable, because it is very clear right now that Labour is very firmly of the opinion that there should be no one left to fight for them.

Who will represent the voice of the person the state should be there to protect when we get a government that is determined that all who might speak for them should be silenced? That is the question I want to ask.