

# Is Rachel Reeves serious about tackling tax abuse?

Published: January 13, 2026, 2:48 am

---

I asked the question that heads this post in [The National](#) yesterday, starting by noting that:

*IN the 1960s the US writer and civil rights activist James Baldwin said "I can't believe what you say, because I see what you do." His message was simple. He was saying that what people said about their attitude towards racism did not matter; it is what they did that counts. I think we should apply that lesson to Rachel Reeves, and her approach to tax abuse.*

I concluded, having appraised the evidence, suggesting that:

*So, to go back to James Baldwin and his instruction that we should not believe what someone says, but that we should look at what they do, is it really the case that Rachael Reeves is serious about tackling tax abuse?*

*Or has she, by choosing advisors on this issue people who appear remarkably poorly qualified for the task given their previous occupations or comments, sent out the very clear message that she might have filled the hole in her spreadsheet for the time being but that she has no real intention of tackling tax abuse in the UK?*

*I will watch what she does, but I am not optimistic. Labour seems to be in the habit of making policy claims that do not stack, and this looks like another one of them.*

As is the case with so much that Labour is doing, nothing seems to add up on Reeves' new policy. I wish it were otherwise.