

Funding the Future

The Tories have gone full on fascist with their Rwanda ...

Published: January 13, 2026, 11:18 am

The government [has this afternoon published this](#):

Safety of Rwanda (Asylum and Immigration) Bill

This statement in the preamble to this Bill is astonishing:

EUROPEAN CONVENTION ON HUMAN RIGHTS

Secretary James Cleverly has made the following statement under section 19(1)(b) of the Human Rights Act 1998:

I am unable to make a statement that, in my view, the provisions of the Safety of Rwanda (Asylum and Immigration) Bill are compatible with the Convention rights, but the Government nevertheless wishes the House to proceed with the Bill.

So, human rights no longer matter in UK law-making.

And then clause 2 im|² [Safety of the Republic of Rwanda](#)

- (1) Every decision-maker must conclusively treat the Republic of Rwanda as a safe country.
- (2) A decision-maker means—
 - (a) the Secretary of State or an immigration officer when making a decision relating to the removal of a person to the Republic of Rwanda under any provision of, or made under, the Immigration Acts;
 - (b) a court or tribunal when considering a decision of the Secretary of State or an immigration officer mentioned in paragraph (a).
- (3) As a result of subsection (1), a court or tribunal must not consider a review of, or an appeal against, a decision of the Secretary of State or an immigration officer relating to the removal of a person to the Republic of Rwanda to the

extent that the review or appeal is brought on the grounds that the Republic of Rwanda is not a safe country.

- (4) In particular, a court or tribunal must not consider—
 - (a) any claim or complaint that the Republic of Rwanda will or may remove or send a person to another State in contravention of any of its international obligations, including in particular its obligations under the Refugee Convention;
 - (b) any claim or complaint that a person will not receive fair and proper consideration of an asylum, or other similar, claim in the Republic of Rwanda, or
 - (c) any claim or complaint that the Republic of Rwanda will not act in accordance with the Rwanda Treaty.
- (5) Subsections (3) and (4) apply notwithstanding—
 - (a) any provision made by or under the Immigration Acts;
 - (b) the Human Rights Act 1998, to the extent it is incompatible with clause 2.

So, black is now ruled by law to be white. Opinion is now law. Objective facts no longer matter. The suspension of reality has itself become law.

And if there is any doubt as to our right to object, Clause 3 says:

3 Disapplication of the Human Rights Act 1998

(1) The provisions of this Act apply notwithstanding the relevant provisions of the Human Rights Act 1998, which are disapplied as follows.

Any semblance that the UK might now be considered a liberal country, let alone a liberal democracy that is governed in accordance with the rule of law, has now been totally abandoned.

This is full-on fascist law intended for the sole purpose of deliberately victimising some in society whose rights to object to being treated in that way are being removed in law. The time when we can pretend otherwise has now passed. From now on we must live at the whim of our rulers. Unless we can get rid of them, that is.